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ABSTRACT

We numerically investigate global properties of rotating neutron stars using the allowed band of QCD equations of state derived by Kurkela et al. (2014). This band is constrained by chiral effective theory at low densities and perturbative QCD at high densities, and is thus, in essence, a controlled constraint from first-principles physics. Previously, this band of equations of state was used to investigate non-rotating neutron stars only; in this work, we extend these results to any rotation frequency below the mass-shedding limit. We investigate mass–radius curves, allowed mass–frequency regions, radius–frequency curves for a typical $1.4M_\odot$ star, and the values of the moment of inertia of the double pulsar PSR J0737-3039A, a pulsar whose moment of inertia may be constrained observationally in a few years. We present limits on observational data coming from these constraints, and identify values of observationally-relevant parameters that would further constrain the allowed region for the QCD equation of state. We also discuss how much this region would be constrained by a measurement of the moment of inertial of the double pulsar PSR J0737-3039A.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Neutron stars (NSs) are one of the most extreme physical systems in the cosmos. Within a sphere of radius $\sim10$ km lies over $1M_\odot$ of matter. In the outer layers of NSs, controlled techniques such as chiral effective theory (ChEFT) (Tews et al. 2013) or quantum Monte Carlo (Abbar et al. 2015) are applicable and can yield insights into both the static properties of the bulk matter (such as the equation of state or EoS) and some transport properties. Currently, these low-density calculations are valid up to about 1.1 times the nuclear saturation density $n_s \approx 0.16/fm^3$, corresponding to a baryon chemical potential of about $\mu_B \approx 0.97$ GeV (Tews et al. 2013). Deep in the core, however, such controlled, direct theoretical calculations are not possible. This is because the densities and chemical potentials at the center of the star, though extreme, are not large enough to fall into the range accessible by perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD). In the state-of-the-art pQCD calculations at zero temperature in Kurkela et al. (2010a), the errors associated with varying the mass scale reach 30% at around $\mu_B = 2.6$ GeV. The value of $\mu_B$ in the cores of NSs lie within a subset of this $0.97 - 2.6$ GeV range.

The problem of the interiors of NSs is thus currently a nonperturbative one. However, one can hope to reach the intermediate values of $\mu_B$ by matching the low-density EoS from the low-energy effective theories to the pQCD results in a thermodynamically consistent way to investigate the (static) makeup of NSs. This has been carried out in the work of Kurkela et al. (2014) and Fraga et al. (2016), who, in addition, incorporated the $2M_\odot$ constraint from Demorest et al. (2010); Antoniadis et al. (2013). (See also Hebeler & Schwenk (2010), in which the authors use only ChEFT and the $2M_\odot$ constraint to extend the low-energy EoS.) In these works, the authors used their matched EoSs to analyze non-rotating NSs only. It is known (Benhar et al. 2005; Cipolletta et al. 2015) that slowly-rotating NSs can be approximated as non-rotating for frequencies of rotation less than about $f \approx 200$ Hz. Beyond this, however, one must use numerical codes to analyze the structure of the stars. Such a numerical approach has been recently used by Cipolletta et al. (2015) and Haensel et al. (2016) in the context of phenomenological EoSs. One of the main purposes of the current article is to extend these analyses to include EoSs that are more fully constrained by first-principles physics. Broadly speaking, the purpose of this work is to investigate the effects of rotation on NSs all the way up to the mass-shedding limit using the constraints on the QCD EoS determined in Kurkela et al. (2014); Fraga et al. (2016). We are particularly interested in constraining NS properties that are relevant observationally. As such, we investigate the maximum allowed NS masses, and the allowed regions for mass–radius curves, mass–frequency curves, and radius–frequency curves for a typical $1.4M_\odot$ star. In addition, we investigate the allowed
values of the moment of inertia of the double pulsar PSR J0737-3039A (Kramer & Wex 2000; Morrison et al. 2004) and study how this is correlated with the radius. In this way, we hope that this work will provide the literature with strong direct links between astronomical observations and the allowed QCD EoSs coming from current state-of-the-art pQCD and ChEFT calculations.

We note at the outset that even though the pQCD result of Kurkela et al. (2010a) assumed local charge neutrality, this does not actually imply that the EoS band of Kurkela et al. (2014) makes this assumption. There are two reasons for this. Firstly, as was noted in Kurkela et al. (2010a), switching between local and global charge neutrality for the pQCD EoS typically leads to a smaller variation in the pressure than is already included in the renormalization scale dependence (Glendenning 2000). Secondly, since the polytropic matching carried out in Kurkela et al. (2014) does not preclude the formation of a mixed phase at the matching points (see, e.g., Glen-denning (1992)), there is in principle no assumption of local charge neutrality made for the band of EoSs that we use in this work.

The structure of this paper is as follows: In Sec. 2, we briefly review the RNS code and describe how it was used to construct all of the aforementioned relations between the NS properties listed above. In Sec. 3, we present our results and all of our plots. In our concluding Sec. 4, we review our main findings, including ones that are most relevant to astrophysical observation.

2. METHODOLOGY

To conduct our analysis, we used the publicly available RNS code. It can takes as input an EoS in the form $P(\varepsilon)$ and two parameters: a central energy density $\varepsilon$ and the ratio of the polar coordinate radius to the equatorial coordinate radius $r$. Other inputs can be used as well (see below), but internally each NS that is constructed is specified by the parameters $\varepsilon$ and $r$. From this input, the code can calculate various global properties of the star, including the total (or gravitational) mass $M$, the circumferential equatorial radius $R_e$, the frequency of rotation $f$, and the moment of inertia $I$.

In addition to constructing a single star specified by $\varepsilon$ and $r$, the RNS code can construct sequences of stars as well as accept other stellar properties as input to construct internal sequences and find stars satisfying those inputs. It can also calculate the mass-shedding frequency for a given central energy density $\varepsilon_0$, which is the fastest rotation rate possible before the star begins to throw off mass from its equator. This provides an upper bound on the rotation rate for the central energy density $\varepsilon_0$. Rotating stars have both a larger maximum mass and a larger maximum equatorial radius, and so the mass-shedding limit can be used to investigate larger, more massive stars than were possible in the non-rotating limit.

The approach used in this investigation was to take the EoSs used in Kurkela et al. (2014); Fraga et al. (2016) in the form $P(\varepsilon)$ and feed them into the RNS code to calculate various properties of physical interest. A comment is in order here. In Kurkela et al. (2014); Fraga et al. (2016), the authors match the ChEFT EoSs to the pQCD band using two or three intermediate polytropic EoSs. In addition, they perform the matching both with and without latent heat at the matching points of the polytropic EoSs. In the end, the authors conclude that adding latent heat is actually more restrictive on the matching, and, in addition, they found that a third polytrope only minimally increased the range of allowed EoSs. In light of these results, we have also only used the bitropic EoSs without latent heat in this work.

To construct our data, we first ran the RNS code on the static and mass-shedding sequences. From this, we could construct the mass–radius curves and one boundary of the allowed mass–frequency region for NSs. The rest of our numerical data involved either fixed-frequency runs, fixed-mass runs (or both), or coding a binary search to fill in the gaps where the code was unable to generate the star. This was necessary in the cases of very small frequencies, as internally the code always uses $r$ as a parameter instead of $f$. (This behavior was also noted in Cipolletta et al. (2015).) The fixed-frequency runs were used to determine the other boundary of the allowed mass–frequency region, and the fixed-mass runs were used to determine the radius–frequency relations for a typical, 1.4$M_\odot$ NS. Finally, the fixed mass–frequency runs were used for investigating PSR J0737-3039A.

3. RESULTS

We present first our results for mass vs. equatorial radius curves in Fig. 1. The non-rotating region is the same as in Kurkela et al. (2014), and has a maximum mass of about 2.5$M_\odot$. As seen in the figure, rotating NSs have a larger radius and a larger maximum mass than non-rotating ones. This can be thought of as a consequence of centrifugal force: the stars with large central energy densities that are unstable past the maximum-mass point for non-rotating stars are stabilized (and their central energy densities are lowered) by the outward centrifugal force in the rotating case. The larger radius is a consequence of the eccentricity of the star caused by the centrifugal force as well. We see that the maximum-mass star now has a mass of about 3.25$M_\odot$, and the largest star radius is about 21km.

As one might expect, the boundaries of the non-rotating region and the mass-shedding regions in Fig. 1 are formed from the same EoSs; e.g., the EoS that contains the highest-mass stars in the non-rotating case also
contains the highest-mass stars in the mass-shedding case. This means that any further observational constraints that restrict the left, horizontally-striped region in Fig. 1 will also restrict the right, vertically-striped region in the same way.

There is available observational data on the correlated masses and radii of some NSs, in particular, those located in low-mass x-ray binaries (for a recent review, see Ozel & Freire (2016)). Though the uncertainties on these data are sizeable in both mass and radius, considering all of the data together reveals a general region in the mass–radius plane. Moreover, combined analyses can produce still more refined insights. Comparing our allowed mass–radius regions with the results of the analysis of Ozel et al. (2016), we see that our non-rotating region (again, the same as that of Kurkela et al. (2014)) fills the larger-radius half of the confidence bands for both the quiescent and thermonuclear-burst data. Moreover, our non-rotating region intersects with the 68% confidence bands of every neutron star listed in that work, save one, the quiescent NS labelled “M28”, and the confidence band for that star only narrowly misses our region on the side of smaller radii. Finally, the astrophysically-inferred mass–radius region presented towards the end of Ozel & Freire (2016) primarily covers a region of slightly smaller radii than our non-rotating results: The authors’ “Astro+Exp” region just touches the smaller-radius edge of our non-rotating results. These observations together seem to favor the EoSs of Kurkela et al. (2014) that produce stars with smaller radii.

In Fig. 2, we show the allowed regions for NSs in the mass-frequency plane. The inner, solid region is allowed for every equation of state, and the outer, checkered band shows where the possible boundaries are for each EoS. The right boundary of the checkered region is constrained by the mass-shedding stars: beyond a certain limiting frequency at a given mass, stars become unstable. The upper boundary of the checkered region consists of the curves $M_{\text{max}}(f)$, the maximum NS mass as a function of frequency. We also include three dashed lines in Fig. 2, which are the boundaries of the mass–frequency regions for three sample EoSs. This is to illustrate the shape of the boundary for each EoS. Every EoS is shaped similarly to these: the top boundary rises towards the sloped, upper right-hand edge of the checkered region, comes to a point, and then curves back down. Note that this implies that the outermost boundary of the checkered region is not formed from a single EoS; in fact, even the upper edge and right edge of the checkered region are formed by different EoSs.

We also show in Fig. 2 data points for NSs with frequencies above 100Hz, taken from Haensel et al. (2016). A star located in the checkered band would eliminate some of the EoSs (namely, the ones whose curves in the checkered region are closer to the inner, solid region than the data point of the star). We see that there is only one star that is pushing into the checkered band: this is B1516+02B, with a mass of $2.09 \pm 0.19M_\odot$ (Freire et al. 2008). If the mass of this star were further constrained, it could potentially eliminate a sizeable number of additional EoSs. Note, however, that $f = 125.83\text{Hz}$ for B1516+02B, so this is still within the regime where ap-
proximating the star as non-rotating is valid. Thus, this constraint is not fundamentally one of rotation.

From Fig. 2, however, we see that for high-f stars, there is a constraint coming from rotation. The most clear example of this is the upper-right corner of the inner, solid region with coordinates \((M, f) = (2.06M_\odot, 883\text{Hz})\). This frequency, \(f = 883\text{Hz}\), signifies the highest frequency that all of the EoSs can support. Thus, if a NS is ever found with \(f > 883\text{Hz}\), this would eliminate some of the possible EoSs of Kurkela et al. (2014); Fraga et al. (2016). We note, however, that this is the highest frequency that would eliminate some EoSs: lower-frequency NSs could also rule out some EoSs if their masses could be measured and were sufficiently low. For example, PSR J1748-2446ad, currently the fastest rotating NS known \((f = 716\text{Hz})\) (Hessels et al. 2006), would eliminate some EoSs if its mass is less than about \(1M_\odot\).

For a \(1.4M_\odot\) NS, the largest frequency that all EoSs can support is lower, \(f = 780\text{Hz}\), as shown in Fig. 3. In this figure, we have plotted the equatorial radius as a function of frequency \(R_e(f)\) for a typical \(1.4M_\odot\) NS for each EoS. This plot serves as a prediction for observational astronomers. Furthermore, when consistent, reliable data of NS radii are available, a plot of this type could be overlaid with observational data to further constrain the QCD EoS (similar to Fig. 2 above). One other comment we wish to make here is that this radius—frequency band agrees with the result of the minimum-\(\chi^2\), hybrid EoS of Kurkela et al. (2010b). That result lies directly in the center of our band in Fig. 3. We do note, however, that their mass—frequency boundary only partially agrees with our band: The boundary of the mass—frequency region coming from the mass-shedding curve in Kurkela et al. (2010b) lies in the center of our checkered band coming from our mass-shedding curves, but their upper boundary cuts into our solid band. This is because the minimum-\(\chi^2\), hybrid EoS obtained in Kurkela et al. (2010b) does not permit a \(2M_\odot\) NS.

The final plot that we have generated from the EoSs is shown in Fig. 4. In this figure, we show the allowed region for the moment of inertia and equatorial radius of PSR J0737-3039A. The moment of inertia of this star may be measured in a few years (Kramer & Wex 2009; Morrison et al. 2004), and so it is natural to investigate what the QCD EoS predicts its value should be. We find that \(I \in [1.2, 1.8] \times 10^{45}\text{g cm}^2\). Work of this type has been performed previously assuming phenomenological EoSs, e.g. in Lattimer & Schutz (2005); Morrison et al. (2004); Bejger et al. (2005); and, more recently, Raithel et al. (2016) have performed an analysis in which an EoS is only assumed up to \(n_s\), and the remaining mass is shifted around to minimize and maximize \(I\) for the star. This allows the authors to plot the largest allowed region in the \(R_e, I\) plane constrained by controlled, first-principles, low-energy physics. Our allowed region in Fig. 4 does fall within the larger-\(R_e\), larger-\(I\) (i.e., upper-right) portion of the region calculated in the aforementioned work, and it also falls roughly in the center of the forty EoSs data points presented in an earlier figure in that work.

We also find that all of the “hard” and “soft” EoSs from Kurkela et al. (2014); Fraga et al. (2016) fall on the two boundaries of our allowed region: the “hard” EoSs form the right boundary and the “soft” ones form the left boundary. In other words, the “hard” and “soft” EoSs each lie on their own fixed curve. This is not surprising, since the largest contribution to \(I\) comes from the matter at the largest radii (in the low-density crust region), and there, all the “hard” or “soft” EoSs agree by construction. But since these EoSs form the vertical boundaries of the region, even a relatively imprecise measurement of the moment of inertia of PSR J0737-3039A (e.g., one with a precision of 10%) will significantly constrain which EoSs...
Figure 5. (Color online) A plot illustrating how much the EoS band from Kurkela et al. (2014) would be restricted by a hypothetical measurement of $I = 1.5 \times 10^{45}$ g cm$^2$ with a precision of 10% for PSR J0737-3039A.

are consistent with the measurement. Since the allowed region spans $0.6 \times 10^{45}$ g cm$^2$ in $I$, a 10% measurement will only be consistent with about $0.15/0.6 = 25\%$ of the EoSs.

This percentage is not a physical meaningful result, but we translate it into a statement about the equation of state band in Fig. 5. In this figure, we display the Kurkela et al. EoS band, along with the subset of it that is consistent with $I = 1.5 \times 10^{45}$ g cm$^2$ to a precision of 10%, as an example. We see that such a measurement would shrink the percent errors of the band of allowed QCD EoSs consistent with observations to 50% of its current size at low densities. We thus conclude that a measurement of the moment of inertia of PSR J0737-3039A would be of extreme interest.

The author would like to thank Aleksi Kurkela, Paul Romatschke, and Aleksi Vuorinen for many helpful discussions and suggestions.

REFERENCES

Hebeler, K., & Schwenk, A. 2010, Phys. Rev., C82, 014314
Kramer, M., & Wex, N. 2009, Class. Quant. Grav., 26, 073001

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have investigated the effects of rotation on global properties of NSs constructed from the EoSs of Kurkela et al. (2014); Fraga et al. (2016). We have found the maximum allowed NS mass to be about $3.25M_\odot$ and the maximum allowed NS radius to be about 21 km. From investigations of mass–radius relations, we have observed that the smaller-radius results of Kurkela et al. (2014) are favored by current data and analysis. From investigations of mass–frequency relations, we have have identified B1516+02B as a NS of particular interest: constraining its mass more precisely could potentially eliminate many allowed QCD EoSs. From mass–frequency relations, we also have identified $f = 883$ Hz as the maximum allowed NS rotation frequency consistent with every EoS. In the case of a canonical $1.4M_\odot$ NS, we have found that $f = 780$ Hz is the maximum allowed rotation frequency consistent with every EoS. We have also determined the allowed $R_e$ vs. $f$ region for a $1.4M_\odot$ NS, which may serve as a prediction for astronomers, and may also be overlaid with future precise radius measurements to further constrain the QCD EoS. Finally, we have calculated the moment of inertia and radius of PSR J0737-3039A for each EoS and found it to be consistent with the minimally constrained results of Raithel et al. (2016). We have found that $I \in [1.2, 1.8] \times 10^{45}$ g cm$^2$ for the allowed QCD EoSs. Most excitingly, we have concluded that even a measurement of the moment of inertia of this star with a precision of 10% would reduce the percent errors of the band of allowed QCD EoSs consistent with observations to 50% of its current size at low densities. We thus conclude that a measurement of the moment of inertia of PSR J0737-3039A would be of extreme interest.